## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant (s): Suresh Subramonian et al.

Serial No.: 09/782,499

Group Art Unit: 1711

Filed: February 13, 2001

Examiner: Morton Foelak

For: PREPARATION OF MACROCELLULAR ACOUSTIC FOAM

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE, COMPRISING A TOTAL OF 4\_ PAGES, IS BEING TRANSMITTED TO FAX PHONE NUMBER (703) 872-8310 FOR GROUP ART UNIT 1711 OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ADDRESSED TO: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, DC 20231, ON:

<u>July 24, 2002</u>

ROBERT S. LELKES

2002 @ 1:40PM EST (7:40 AM CET)

DATE & TIME OF SIGNATURE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

GROUP LOON This is in response to the Office Action dated April 24, 2002. Applicant requests that the restriction requirement and rejection of claims be reconsidered for the reasons which follow.

## REMARKS

## Restriction Requirement

Applicant affirms the election of the subject matter of claims 9 to 15 for examination with traverse. The restriction requirement is traversed, because the Examiner has not substantiated that the macrocellular foam product of claims 9 to 15 can be made by molding and foaming polyolefin beads after impregnation. Such process is not taught by the cited references. If such were known and not cited in the Office Action, the